s is possible
d energy of
pressures up
so be used to
of liquids if
under presrerse of the
The original

itions.

(8) is to the ricants under viscosity of essure is, of my lubrication re mixtures of Eq. (8) is true will be made cosities of oils material interesting the ent pressures (8) gives

 $r_r \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial T}\right)_V \bigg)_{p_1}$

energy unit.
of testing this evaluated from nnsylvania oil, re interpolated r Pennsylvania 85±10 percent. ight in such a in factor. The

ty of Pennsylvania C. $M = 485 \pm 10^{\circ}$

η(OBS.) POISES	η ₁₅₀₀ η ₃₇₅ (OBS.)	
0.77	6.95	
5.35	0.93	

PPLIED PHYSICS

TABLE V.

Liquid	ΔEvis	ΔEvap AT B.P. CAL./MOLE	$\frac{\Delta E_{\mathrm{vap}}}{\Delta E_{\mathrm{vis}}}$	n
CCI ₄ C ₂ H ₄ C ₃ Clohexane CH ₄ A N ₁ CO	2500 2540 2890 719 516 449 466	6600 6660 6700 1820 1420 1210 1310	2.66 2.62 2.32 2.53 2.75 2.70 2.80	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
O1 C1H1Cl2 C1H4Br2	398 2270 2590	1470 6930 7890	3.69 3.05 3.04	$3\frac{1}{2}$ $3\frac{1}{2}$ $3\frac{1}{2}$
Pretane Hesane (HCl) C,Hsl C,HsBr CSt C,HsCHs Ether Acetone C,Hs	1580 1715 1760 1720 1585 1280 2120 1610 1655 793	5510 6220 6630 6400 6080 5920 7240 5700 6400 3500	3.50 3.61 3.76 3.72 3.84 4.63 3.42 3.54 3.86 4.41	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4

rabulation was made using M=440, and the good agreement is due to this value having been found by trial beforehand. It is, however, within he limit of uncertainty of the experimentally betermined molecular weight (of the oil on which the viscosity measurements were made). Conidering the fact that the data were from two different Pennsylvania oils, the results appear promising, at least. The pressures of 375 and 1500 kg/cm2 were not arbitrarily selected, but the lowest and highest pressures, respecthely, at which $(\partial p/\partial T)_V$ could be evaluated from the PVT data. Experimental data suitable or a test over a wider range of pressures would be desirable, since Eq. (8) appears to hold up to 1000 kg/cm² for pure liquids.

Comparison of the Theory with the Empirical Equation $\eta = Ae^{B/T}$

It is a well-known experimental fact that a plot $\log \eta$ vs. 1/T is a straight line for all normal quids, or that

$$\eta = A e^{B/T}
= A e^{\Delta E} v_{1s}/RT$$
(9)

and this relation is now one of the best established empirical rules regarding liquids. All normal equids, including hydrocarbons and their halogen

derivatives, ketones, ethers, sulfides, esters, acid anhydrides, liquefied gases, covalent inorganic compounds, etc. obey the equation within experimental error, and also most liquid metals and fused salts. In fact, it is so universally obeyed by normal liquids that it can be considered empirically as a necessary condition for a liquid of unchanging molecular state, although it cannot be considered a sufficient condition. Fig. 7 shows a plot of some liquids representing several types of compounds.

This relation has had a long and devious history, having been independently "rediscovered" periodically ever since 1913. Following is a chronological summary of the independent publications, the asterisk indicating those which can be thrown into the identical form of $\eta = Ae^{B/T}$:

4042	1 0 11	*
1913	de Guzman ¹¹	
1916	Arrhenius ¹²	$\eta v^{\frac{1}{2}} = A e^{B/T}$
1917	Kendall and Monroe ¹³	*
1918	Drucker ¹⁴	*
1923	Raman ¹⁵	*
1925	Fulcher ¹⁶	$\eta = A e^{B/T + C}$
1926	Dunn ¹⁷	*
1929	Busse and Karrer ^{17a}	*
1930	Andrade ¹⁸	*
1930	Sheppard ¹⁹	*
1931	Tonomura ²⁰	$\eta = A e^{B/T + C}$
1933	Cragoe ²¹	$\eta v = A e^{B/T}$
1934	Andrade ²²	$\eta v^{\frac{1}{4}} = A e^{B/vT}$
1937	Souders ²³	$\eta v = A e^{B/T}$

The symbol V is the specific volume.

The variant forms which contain a volume factor make little or no improvement over the simple equation. In the recent paper by Souders he shows that there is little to choose between his equation and Andrade's 1934 equation, except that the former is easier to use. That $\eta = Ae^{B/T}$ and the variant forms containing the volume work about equally well is due to the slow variation of the volume with temperature.

The several deductions of this relationship have all been based on loose thermodynamic analogies with the vapor pressure, or on vague over-simplified applications of the Boltzmann factor, or on wholly empirical grounds, so that the equation to date must be considered as being purely an empirical one.

From the work of Eyring and the writer^{1, 2} it is obvious that the constant $\Delta E_{\rm vis}(=RB)$ is connected with the energy of vaporization. De